

To: Grantham, Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]
Cc: StClair, Christie[StClair.Christie@epa.gov]; Hull, George[Hull.George@epa.gov]
From: Lemon, Mollie
Sent: Thur 4/14/2016 6:48:24 PM
Subject: RE: Bonita Peak Mining District HRS

Will do.

Mollie Lemon

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Media Relations

202.343.9859

From: Grantham, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 2:39 PM
To: Lemon, Mollie <Lemon.Mollie@epa.gov>
Cc: StClair, Christie <StClair.Christie@epa.gov>; Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Bonita Peak Mining District HRS

Thanks – if you could send to the region and olem and ask them to coordinate sending responses back to you – that would be great.

Thanks ng

From: Lemon, Mollie
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 2:36 PM
To: Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: StClair, Christie <StClair.Christie@epa.gov>; Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Bonita Peak Mining District HRS

Meant to add – note requested deadline of COB today. Thanks!

Mollie Lemon

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Media Relations

202.343.9859

From: Lemon, Mollie
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 2:35 PM
To: Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: StClair, Christie <StClair.Christie@epa.gov>; Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Bonita Peak Mining District HRS

Hi Nancy,

Wanted to flag this GKM inquiry for you. Please let us know if you'd like to handle or if we can help (Christie is out today). Thanks!

Mollie

Mollie Lemon

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Media Relations

202.343.9859

From: Ethan Barton [<mailto:ethan@dailycallernewsfoundation.org>]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 2:25 PM
To: Press <Press@epa.gov>; Lemon, Mollie <Lemon.Mollie@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Bonita Peak Mining District HRS

Here's the link to the HRS document, for your convenience:

<https://semspub.epa.gov/work/08/1769520.pdf>

On Thu, Apr 14, 2016 at 2:24 PM, Ethan Barton <ethan@dailycallernewsfoundation.org> wrote:

Hello,

I have some questions regarding the Bonita Peak Mining District HRS Documentation Record.

I would like to know why the drinking water threat was not scored. The document shows that ground water migration pathway was not scored because of the low population density and because there are "no municipal wells located within the four mile radius that serve as potable supplies."

However, Silverton, Colorado, Farmington, New Mexico, and the Navajo Nation have all had their water supplies affected by the Gold King Mine release, which suggests water supplies are, in fact, impacted by the Bonita Peak Mining District.

Additionally, both the human food chain and the environmental threats scored the max values. How is it that the EPA claims the river is safe for humans and that drinking water is not affected, yet the human food chain is heavily threatened?

Given the threat to the human food chain, have residents who live in the area who consume wildlife, such as fishers in Silverton, Colorado, been warned of this threat and been given guidance?

In sum:

1. Why was drinking water not assessed in the HRS, given the details provided?
2. How can the human food chain be so heavily threatened, but the drinking water, as well as the Animas River, is safe for humans?
3. Has the EPA warned local residents and provided guidance on the threat to the human food chain?

My deadline is end of business today.

Thanks,

Ethan

--

Ethan Barton

Investigative Reporter

Daily Caller News Foundation

410-829-1738

@ethanrbarton

--

Ethan Barton

Investigative Reporter

Daily Caller News Foundation

410-829-1738

@ethanrbarton